WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY SPRING/SUMMER-2003 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE

Course: FRE1020 Call No. 30617

Students Responding: 16 out of 22

* This course is compared only to other cou	irses with	- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	_ initial s	tudent	interest.						
SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION	**MEAN & MEDIA		IAN #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGOR								
Sommari oo saa	RAT	INGS	Poor	Fair	Good	V.Good		(A)	(B)		
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(<u>5)</u> 7	0	0		
Q1. How would you rate this course?	4	4.5	0 Nothng	1 Little	5 Modrt	1 A lot	G.Deal	N/A			
Q2. How much have you learned in this course?	4.3	4	0	0	1	8	5	0	11/15		
SUMMARY INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION			Poor	Fair	Good	V.Good	Exllnt	N/A			
Q24. How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?	4.3	5	0	1	2	4	8	0	0		
MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING	12.6										
INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS			#DESDC	NDING I	NEACHO	F THE FOL	LOWING (CATEG	ORIES		
Organization/Clarity			S.Dis	Dis	Neutrl	Agree	S.Agr	N/A			
			0	0	1	5	10	0	0		
Q3. This course was well organized.			0	0	2	3	11	0	0		
Q4. The instructor made clear and understandable presentations.					0	4	12	0	0		
Q5. The instructor's use of examples and/or illustrations helped me understand the subject matter.			0	0					0		
Os My responsibilities as a student in this course were made clear.			0	0	0	3	12	0	U		
Instructor Enthusiasm			0	0		2	13	0	0		
Q7. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.			0	0	1	5	10	0	0		
Os. The instructor encouraged and/or motivated me to do my best work.			0	0	1	3	10	O	Ü		
Group Interaction			0	0	0	3	13	0	0		
Q9. The instructor encouraged student questions.			0	0	0	2	12	0	0		
O10. The instructor encouraged expression of ideas.			U	O	O	~					
Individual Rapport			0	1	2	2	11	0	0		
Q11. All things considered, the instructor was available to me.			0	0	1	4	11	0	0		
Q12. The instructor treated all students in the class with respect. Breadth of Coverage			Ü								
	•		0	0	0	4	12	0	0		
Q13. The instructor demonstrated good knowledge of the course content. Q14. The instructor discussed differing views about material			0	0	1	3	12	0	0		
when appropriate. Examinations/Grading	ſ										
Q15. The grading procedures were explained at an appropriate point			0	0	1	2	13	0	0		
in the course.			0	0	1	2	13	0	0		
Q16. Evaluation and grading methods were fair.			0	0	0	4	12	0	0		
Q17. The instructor provided feedback on my performance in a reasonable amount of time.					_			0	0		
O18 The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.			0	0	1	2	13	U	U		
Assignments/Readings	3			0	1	6	8	0	0		
Q19. The readings contributed to my understanding of course content. Q20. Other assignments contributed to my understanding of course			1	0	1 1	6 4	9	0	0		
content.											
Workload/Difficulty	7		#RESP	ONDING	IN EACH	OF THE FO			GORIE		
Q21. For me, the course difficulty level was:				Elmntr			TDfclt	N/A 0	. U/A 0		
war			0	1	8	4	3	U	U		
			T.Lttl	Little	Modrt	Heavy	TooHvy	y N/A	U/A		
Q22. The workload in the course was:			0	0	11	4	1	0	0		
						_	m F	27/4	***		
Q23. The course pace was:			T.Slov	_	Modri	Fast 5	T.Fast	N/A 0	. U/A		
was			0	0	8	3	3	U	U		

NOTE: Categorical ranking of Mean Summative Interest Rating and categorical ranking of Mean Summative Class Rating have not yet been determined. As a temporary measure, three scatter plots of Summative Mean Class Scores by Summative Mean Interest Scores are being provided for your use in comparing your SET ratings to others in your department, your school/college, and the entire University.

^{*} To be determined at a later date, when sufficient data have been accumulated.

** Please note that MEAN ratings will only be reported until categorical rankings, based on normative data, are available.

¹ N/A = Not Applicable U/A = Unable to Answer

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY SPRING/SUMMER-2003 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE

Course: FRE1020 Call No. 30617

Students Responding: 16 out of 22

STUDENT PROFILE		**MEAN & MEDIAN #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGOR																				
A. Before enrolling I had an interest in the <i>subject matter</i> of this course. B. I wanted to take this course.	3.6 3.5	FINGS 4 4	S.Dis (1) 2 2	Dis (2) 3	Neutrl (3) 1 2.	Agree (4) 4	S.Agr (5) 6	CATEGORIE														
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATINGC. I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings.	7.1		100%		woo dir	and bowie	0															
D. I expect a final grade in this course of :																		About 90% A 2 B	0 C	6 About 50% 0 D	About 259	76
			7	4 Required 12	3	1 Elective 4	0															
INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:			#RESPC	NDING IN	EACH OI	F THE FOLI	LOWING (CATEGORIES N/A U/A														
)) ,																						
5																						

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY WINTER-2003 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE

Course: FRE1010 Call No. 21460

Students Responding: 12 out of 24

* This course is compared only to other courses with initial student interest. SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION **MEAN & MEDIAN #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES RATINGS Poor Fair Good V.Good Exlint N/A 1 U/A (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (A) (B) Q1. How would you rate this course? 4.4 4.5 0 0 5 6 0 1 0 Nothng Little Modrt A lot G.Deal N/A U/A Q2. How much have you learned in this course? 5 0 0 5 4.6 0 7 0 0 SUMMARY INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION Poor Fair V.Good Good Exllnt N/A U/A Q24. How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course? 4.8 5 0 0 0 2 10 0 MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING 13.8 INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS Organization/Clarity #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES S.Dis Dis Neutrl Agree S.Agr N/A U/A Q3. This course was well organized. 0 0 5 1 6 0 0 Q4. The instructor made clear and understandable presentations. 0 0 1 3 8 0 0 Q5. The instructor's use of examples and/or illustrations helped me 0 1 2 8 0 0 understand the subject matter. Q6. My responsibilities as a student in this course were made clear. 0 0 2 9 0 0 Instructor Enthusiasm Q7. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter. 0 0 0 1 11 0 0 Q8. The instructor encouraged and/or motivated me to do my best work. 0 0 1 0 11 0 0 Group Interaction Q9. The instructor encouraged student questions. 0 0 10 0 0 Q10. The instructor encouraged expression of ideas. 0 0 10 0 0 Individual Rapport Q11. All things considered, the instructor was available to me. 0 0 0 2 10 0 0 Q12. The instructor treated all students in the class with respect. 0 0 11 0 0 Breadth of Coverage Q13. The instructor demonstrated good knowledge of the course content. 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 Q14. The instructor discussed differing views about material 0 0 0 1 11 0 0 when appropriate. Examinations/Grading Q15. The grading procedures were explained at an appropriate point 0 0 1 10 0 0 in the course. Q16. Evaluation and grading methods were fair. 0 0 2 9 1 0 0 Q17. The instructor provided feedback on my performance in a reasonable 0 0 1 1 10 0 0 amount of time. Q18. The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful. 2 10 0 0 Assignments/Readings Q19. The readings contributed to my understanding of course content. 0 0 2 9 0 0 Q20. Other assignments contributed to my understanding of course 0 0 3 8 0 content. Workload/Difficulty #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES Q21. For me, the course difficulty level was: TooEle Elmntr Modrt Dfclt **TDfclt** N/A U/A 0 8 3 1 0 0 0 Q22. The workload in the course was: T.Lttl Little Modrt Heavy U/A TooHvy N/A

NOTE: Categorical ranking of Mean Summative Interest Rating and categorical ranking of Mean Summative Class Rating have not yet been determined. As a temporary measure, three scatter plots of Summative Mean Class Scores by Summative Mean Interest Scores are being provided for your use in comparing your SET ratings to others in your department, your school/college, and the entire University.

0

T.Slow

0

1

Slow

0

8

Modrt

4

3

Fast

7

0

T.Fast

1

0 0

N/A U/A

0 0

Q23. The course pace was:

^{*} To be determined at a later date, when sufficient data have been accumulated.

^{**} Please note that MEAN ratings will only be reported until categorical rankings, based on normative data, are available.

¹ N/A = Not Applicable U/A = Unable to Answer

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY WINTER-2003 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE

Course: FRE1010 Call No. 21460

Students Responding: 12 out of 24

STUDENT PROFILE A. Before enrolling I had an interest in the <i>subject matter</i> of this course.		**MEAN & MEDIAN #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES												
		INGS	S.Dis (1)	Dis (2)	Neutrl (3)	Agree (4)	S.Agr							
		4.5	0	1	4	1	6							
3. I wanted to take this course.	3.5	3.5	1	2	3	2	4							
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING	7.5													
C. I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings.			100%	About 90% A	About 75	% About 50%	About 25%	6						
for Park Vices Vices No. 1816			10	1	1	0	0							
D. I expect a final grade in this course of :			Α	В	C	D	Other							
9.71			6	3	0	1	1							
The course for me was:				Required 8		Elective 4								
and power of the program is the state of the		***************************************	#DECD	ONDING IN	EACU	OF THE FOLI	OWING	CATEC	ODIE					
INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:			5	4	3	2	1	N/A						
			1	0	0	0	0	0	0					
			1	Ö	0	ő	0	0	0					
S														
)														

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY FALL-2003 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY (Page 2)

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE

Course: FRE1010 Call No. 11120

Students Responding: 17 out of 27

INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS										
	2 R A	TIN	GS	#RESPON	NDING IN	NEACH (OF THE F	OLLOWI	NG CATE	GORIES
	Mn.	Md.	SD.	S.Agr	Agree	Neutrl	Dis	S.Dis	N/A 3	U/A 3
Organization/Clarity				(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)
Q3. This course was well organized.	4.8	5	0.4	13	4	0	0	0	0	0
Q4. The instructor made clear and understandable presentations.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q5. The instructor's use of examples and/or illustrations helped me	4.6	5	0.6	12	4	1	0	0	0	0
understand the subject matter.						_	-			
Q6. My responsibilities as a student in this course were made clear.	4.8	5	0.4	14	3	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor Enthusiasm	4.0	_	0.0		•	•	0	0		0
Q7. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q8. The instructor encouraged and/or motivated me to do my best work.	4.9	5	0.2	16	1	0	0	0	0	0
Group Interaction	4.0	_	0.3	1.0	,	0	0	0	^	0
Q9. The instructor encouraged student questions.	4.9	5	0.2	16	1	0	0	0	0	0
Q10. The instructor encouraged expression of ideas.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Individual Rapport	4.0	_	0.2	1.5	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q11. All things considered, the instructor was available to me.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q12. The instructor treated all students in the class with respect.	4.9	5	0.2	16	1	0	0	0	0	0
Breadth of Coverage	4.0	F	0.2	1.5	2		^	0	^	0
Q13. The instructor demonstrated good knowledge of the course content. Q14. The instructor discussed differing views about material	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
when appropriate.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Examinations/Grading										
Q15. The grading procedures were explained at an appropriate point	4.8	5	0.4	14	3	0	0	0	0	0
in the course.										
Q16. Evaluation and grading methods were fair. Q17. The instructor provided feedback on my performance in a reasonable	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
amount of time.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q18. The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.	4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Assignments/Readings										
Q19. The readings contributed to my understanding of course content.	4.7	5	0.8	14	2	0	1	0	0	0
Q20. Other assignments contributed to my understanding of course	4.7	5	0.6	13	3	1	0	0	0	0
content.						•				
Workload/Difficulty	D 4	T T N	c c	#RESPON	IDING IN	LEACH	OF THE E	OLI OWIN	IC CATE	CODIEC
Workload/Difficulty		TIN								
	Mn.	Md.	50.	TDfclt (+2)	Dfclt	Modrt	Elmntr		N/A ³	U/A ³
Q21. For me, the course difficulty level was:	+0.5	+0.5	0.8	$\frac{(\pm 2)}{1}$	(+1) 6	(<u>0)</u> 8	(-1)	0	0	0
<u></u>	. 0.5	. 0.5	0.0	TooHvy	Heavy	Modrt	Little	T.Lttl	N/A 3	U/A ³
				(+2)	(+1)	(0)	(-1)	(-2)	N/A	U/A
Q22. The workload in the course was:	+0.5	0	0.6	0	2	12	3	0	0	0
				T.Fast	Fast	Modrt	Slow	T.Slow	N/A 3	U/A 3
				(+2)	(+1)	(0)	(-1)	(-2)		0
Q23. The course pace was:	+0.2	0	0.8	2	8	6	1	0	0	0
	ORDER TO CONTROL	water water		MANAGE OF SHIP STORY OF	doctor such			et more transferred		NEW CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON O
INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:				#RESPON	DING IN	EACH C	F THE F	OLLOWIN	NG CATE	GORIES
				(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)
L										
2										
3										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										

Wayne State University fall-2003 course survey summary

(Page 1)

Instructor: GRECH BERNADETTE Course: FRE1010 Call No. 11120 1 This course is compared only to other courses with initial				nitial st				ing: 17 (out of 27	
SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION	² R A	TIN	165	#RESPON	NDING IN	N EACH C	F THE F	OLLOWI	NG CATE	GORIES
	Mn.	Md.	SD.	Exllnt	V.Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A 3	U/A 3
Q1. How would you rate this course?	4.5	5	0.7	(5) 11 G.Deal	(4) 4 A lot	(<u>3</u>) 2 Modrt	(2) 0 Little	(1) 0	(A) 0	(B) 0
Q2. How much have you learned in this course?	4.2	4	0.8	7	7	3	0	Nothing 0	N/A ³	U/A ³
SUMMARY INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION				Exllnt	V.Good		Fair	Poor	N/A 3	U/A ³
Q24. How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?	4.8	5	0.4	14	3	0	0	0	0	0
MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING	13.6		0.7							
STUDENT PROFILE		TIN Md.	6 S SD.	#RESPON	NDING IN S.Agr	N EACH C	F THE F	OLLOWII Dis	NG CATE S.Dis	GORIES
A. Before enrolling I had an interest in the <i>subject matter</i> of this course.	4		0.0		(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
B. I wanted to take this course.	4 4.1	4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$		4	10 6	2	1 0	0 1	
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING	8.1		0.9							
C. The course for me was:						Required		Elective 5		
D. I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings:					< 60% 0	60-69%		80-89%		
					U	U	1	2	14	
E. I expect a final grade in this course of :					Α	В	C	D	Other	
					12	4	0	1	0	

 3 N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer

NOTE: Categorical ranking of Mean Summative Interest Rating and categorical ranking of Mean Summative Class Rating have not yet been determined. As a temporary measure, three scatter plots of Summative Mean Class Scores by Summative Mean Interest Scores are being provided for your use in comparing your SET ratings to others in your department, your school/college, and the entire University. *The scales on the graphs have been extended by one point on the upper side for visual clarity.* If you did not receive the graphs, please contact your School/College/Unit SET coordinator.

¹To be determined at a later date, when sufficient data have been accumulated.

²Mn=Mean; Md=Median; SD=Standard Deviation